### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

| То:  | MONEY | £                                     |         |                           | PCT   |  |
|--|-------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| WILLIAMS, Aylsa<br>D Young & Co<br>Briton House<br>Briton Street<br>Southampton SO14 3E<br>GRANDE BRETAGNE | ORDER |                                       |         |                           |   |  |
|  | REC'D | 12 JUL 2005 THE II                    |         | THE INTE                  | NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF<br>THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY<br>REPORT ON PATENTABILITY<br>(PCT Rule 71.1) |  |
|  | ACK.  | 7/1 00                                |         |                           | (1 Of Hule 71.1)  |  |
|  | FOR   | 281 Am                                | - David | of mailing<br>month/year) | 07.07.2005  |  |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference RD-ATC-32  |       |                                       |         | IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION    |   |  |
| International application No. PCT/GB2004/002583  |       | International filing date (day/month) |         | th/year)                  | Priority date (day/month/year)<br>17.06.2003  |  |
| Applicant ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES (CAMBRIDGE) LIMITED et al.   |       |                                       |         |                           |   |  |

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer** 

Moreno, R

Tel. +49 89 2399-2658



Form PCT/IPEA/416 (January 2004)

EV331 383705 US

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference RD-ATC-32  | FOR FURTHER A  | ACTION See Form PCT/PEA/416                      |  |                                |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|
| International application No. PCT/GB2004/002583  | International filing date 17.06.2004   | (day/month/year)                                 | Priority date (day/month/y<br>17.06.2003 | rear)                          |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or na  | ational classification and II  | PC   |  |                                |
| A01H5/00, C12N15/82, C12N15/29,  |  |  |  |                                |
|  |  |  |  |                                |
| Applicant  | -  | ·  |  |                                |
| ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES (CA  | MBRIDGE) LIMITED   | et al.   |  |                                |
|  |  |  |  |                                |
| This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and trar   | liminary examination re<br>smitted to the applican   | port, established by that according to Article 3 | is International Preliminary<br>6.       | y Examining                    |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total of  | of $m{7}$ sheets, including thi  | s cover sheet.                                   |  |                                |
| 3. This report is also accompanied b   | y ANNEXES, comprisir   | ng:  |  |                                |
| a.   sent to the applicant and to  | the International Bure   | au) a total of sheets,                           | as follows:                              |                                |
| □ sheets of the description and/or sheets containing Administrative Instruct   | sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the |  |  |                                |
|  |  | hich this Authority cons                         | siders contain an amendm                 | ent that goes                  |
| beyond the disclosure<br>Supplemental Box.   | in the international app   | lication as filed, as ind                        | icated in item 4 of Box No.              | . I and the                    |
| b.   (sent to the International B sequence listing and/or tab  | les related thereto, in o  | computer readable form                           | n only, as indicated in the S            | , containing a<br>Supplemental |
| Box Relating to Sequence   | Listing (see Section 80  | 2 of the Administrative                          | Instructions).                           |                                |
|  |  |  |  |                                |
|  |  |  |  |                                |
| 4. This report contains indications re   | lating to the following it   | ems:   |  |                                |
| ☐ Box No. I Basis of the opin  | nion   |  |  |                                |
| ☐ Box No. II Priority  |  |  |  |                                |
| ☐ Box No. III Non-establishm   | ent of opinion with rega   | ard to novelty, inventive                        | step and industrial applic               | ability                        |
| ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of  | invention  |  |  |                                |
|  | ment under Article 35(2<br>ations and explanations   |  | y, inventive step or industr<br>ment     | ial                            |
| ☑ Box No. VI Certain docume  | nts cited  |  |  |                                |
| ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects  | in the international app   | lication   |  |                                |
| ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa   | tions on the internation   | al application                                   |  |                                |
|  |  |  |  |                                |
| Date of submission of the demand   |  | Date of completion of the                        | nis report                               |                                |
|  |  |  |  |                                |
| 12.01.2005   |  | 07.07.2005                                       |  |                                |
| Alexandra III and alexandra III and a second a second and | Authorized Officer   |  |  |                                |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   |  | Authorized Officer                               |  | and trackes Petenteny          |
| European Patent Office   | l aubradan O   |  |  |                                |
| D-80298 Munich<br>Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d   |  | Loubradou, G                                     | 05/5                                     |                                |
| Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465  |  | Telephone No. +49 89                             | 2399- <i>8543</i>                        | Office outoned                 |

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002583

|  |                         |   | IAP20 Rec'd PCT   | 770 16 DEC 2005   |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|---|
|  | Box No. I               | Basis of the report   |   |   |
| ١.   | With regard             | I to the <b>language</b> , this report<br>s otherwise indicated under th  | is based on the international application in his item.  | the language in which it was                                |
|  | ☐ This rewhich          | port is based on translations<br>s the language of a translatio   | from the original language into the following<br>in furnished for the purposes of:  | g language ,  |
|  | ☐ pub                   | rnational search (under Rule<br>dication of the international apprendiculational preliminary examinational preliminary examinations |   |   |
| 2.   | have been               | I to the <b>elements*</b> of the inte<br>furnished to the receiving Oft<br>originally filed" and are not an                         | rnational application, this report is based or<br>ice in response to an invitation under Articl<br>nexed to this report): | n (replacement sheets which<br>e 14 are referred to in this |
|  | Description             | , Pages   |   |   |
|  | 1-55                    | as origi  | nally filed   |   |
| Sequence listings part of the description, Pages |                         | Pages   |   |   |
|  | 1-13                    | as origi  | nally filed   |   |
|  | Claims, Nu              | nbers   |   |   |
|  | 1-54                    | as origi  | nally filed   |   |
|  | Drawings, S             | Sheets  |   |   |
|  | 1/7-7/7                 | as origi  | nally filed   |   |
|  | ⊠ a sequ                | ence listing and/or any relate  | d table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating  | to Sequence Listing   |
| 3.   | _                       | nendments have resulted in t  | he cancellation of:   | _   |
|  | ☐ the                   | description, pages claims, Nos.   |   |   |
|  | ☐ the                   | drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify):   | thatian (an arif de   |   |
|  | _                       | table(s) related to sequence  |   |   |
| 4.   | had not be<br>Supplemen | port has been established as<br>en made, since they have be<br>tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).<br>description, pages                        | if (some of) the amendments annexed to ten considered to go beyond the disclosure   | his report and listed below as filed, as indicated in the   |
|  | □ the                   | claims, Nos.  |   |   |
|  | ☐ the                   | drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify):   | linking (anguifa)   |   |
|  | •                       | table(s) related to sequence  | all of these sheets may be mark   | ed "superseded."  |

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002583

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18, 20, 22, 24, 27-54

No: Claims

19, 21, 23, 25, 26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-54

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-54

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rule 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002583

|    | Sui   | nple        | emental Box relating to Sequence Listing  |  |  |  |  |
|----|-------|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| C. |       |             | tion of Box I, item 2:  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Wit   | h re        | ard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and by to the claimed invention, this report has been established on the basis of:   |  |  |  |  |
|    | a. t  | ype         | of material:  |  |  |  |  |
|    | ı     | $\boxtimes$ | a sequence listing  |  |  |  |  |
|    | ı     |             | table(s) related to the sequence listing  |  |  |  |  |
|    | b. f  | orm         | at of material:   |  |  |  |  |
|    | 1     | Ø           | in written format   |  |  |  |  |
|    | 1     | $\boxtimes$ | in computer readable form   |  |  |  |  |
|    | c. ti | ime         | of filing/furnishing:   |  |  |  |  |
|    | ı     | $\boxtimes$ | contained in the international application as filed   |  |  |  |  |
|    | j     | $\boxtimes$ | filed together with the international application in computer readable form   |  |  |  |  |
|    | I     |             | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Í     |             | received by this Authority as an amendment on   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |       | the<br>ad   | addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating ereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or ditional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, appropriate, were furnished |  |  |  |  |

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002583 P20 Recid FULLIO 16 DEC 2005

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: LAZARO A ET AL: "SIGNAL PEPTIDE HOMOLOGY BETWEEN THE SWEET PROTEIN THAUMATIN II AND UNRELATED CEREAL ALPHA AMYLASE-TRYPSIN INHIBITORS" FEBS LETTERS, vol. 239, no. 1, 1988, pages 147-150, XP002297306 ISSN: 0014-5793
- D2: MACGREGOR E A ET AL: "Structural models of limit dextrinase inhibitors from barley" JOURNAL OF CEREAL SCIENCE, vol. 31, no. 1, January 2000 (2000-01), pages 79-90, XP002297305 ISSN: 0733-5210
- D3: DINGES JASON R ET AL: "Mutational analysis of the pullulanase-type debranching enzyme of maize indicates multiple functions in starch metabolism." PLANT CELL, vol. 15, no. 3, March 2003 (2003-03), pages 666-680, XP002297307 ISSN: 1040-4651
- D4: SLATTERY C J ET AL: "Engineering starch for increased quantity and quality" TRENDS IN PLANT SCIENCE, ELSEVIER SCIENCE, OXFORD, GB, vol. 5, no. 7, July 2000 (2000-07), pages 291-298, XP002241850 ISSN: 1360-1385
- D5: WO 98/50562 A (DU PONT ; BROGLIE KAREN E (US)) 12 November 1998 (1998-11-12)

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1- D1 discloses the nucleotide and polypeptide sequence of the limit dextrinase inhibitor from barley (see Figure 1 of D1). The polypeptide sequence of D1 differs at 2 positions from the sequence of SEQ ID N°2 of the present application (98,6% of identity) and the nucleotide sequence of D1 shares 99% identity with the sequence of SEQ ID N°1 of the present application. The polynucleotide of D1 is inherently cloned in a vector having a selectable marker gene.

  Therefore, claims 19 and 21, 23, 25 and 26 are not novel (Article 33.2 PCT).
- 2- D2 discloses the sequences of the low and high pl inhibitors from barley (Figure 1 of D2). Said sequences are comprised in the sequence of SEQ ID N°2. Therefore, claim 22 is not novel (Article 33.2 PCT). In addition, the disclosure of the sequence of a polypeptide is inherently a

disclosure of the sequence of the polynucleotide encoding said polypeptide. As a consequence, said polynucleotide cannot involve an inventive step.

Therefore, claim 20 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33.3 PCT).

- 3- The subject-matter of claims 24, 27, 28 and 29 is immediately derivable from the subject-matter of D1 or D2 and therefore cannot involve an inventive step (Article 33.3 PCT).
- 4.1 The polypeptides of D1 and D2 are clearly identified in D2 as limit dextrinase inhibitors (see D2, the abstract and page 88 right-hand column last paragraph). D2 further indicates that the inhibitors are important for the malting and brewing industries (page 80 left-hand column, lines 17 to 23) and that a reduction in the activity of said inhibitors should lead to a greater fermentability. Therefore, there is a motivation in the art to generate plants having a reduced level of limit dextrinase inhibitor activity. An obvious solution is to generate transgenic plant wherein the expression of the limit dextrinase inhibitor is down regulated, for example by using the anti-sense technology. In addition, it can be noted that the plants obtained by the method of claims 1-18 are not different from plants transformed with an anti-sense construct down-regulating the expression of the barley limit dextrinase inhibitor. Therefore, claims 30 to 33 and 42 to 46 do not involve an inventive step (Article 33.3 PCT).
- 4.2 The applicant is also invited to note that there is a general motivation in the art to alter/understand starch metabolism in plants and that one of the target is limit dextrinase (pullulanase) (see for example: D3, the abstract; D4 the abstract, page 294 right-hand column the last paragraph and page 296 left-hand column, the paragraph entitled "Starch debranching enzymes"; D5 page 1 lines 17 to 22 and lines 37 to 39). It is obvious for the skilled person that modifying the expression of the limit dextrinase inhibitor (overexpression or down regulation) will result in modifications in the limit dextrinase activity. Therefore, plants overexpressing the limit dextrinase inhibitor are also considered as lacking an inventive step. The plants of claims 34 to 41 are apparently inherently obtained following either down regulation or overexpression of the limit dextrinase inhibitor, therefore said plants cannot involve an inventive step (Article 33.3 PCT).

  The objection applies *mutatis mutandis* to the starch of claims 48 to 54.

PCT/GB2004/002583

- 5. It is obvious for the skilled person facing the problem of obtaining a plant having an altered ability to degrade starch that any modification of a gene involved in starch degradation will solve the problem. It is well known in the art that limit dextrinase is involved in starch degradation and that the limit dextrinase inhibitor regulates the activity of limit dextrinase (see for example D2 and D3). Therefore, the solution consisting in altering the expression of the limit dextrinase inhibitor in order to modify the activity of limit dextrinase is considered obvious. Therefore, claim 3 does not involve an inventive activity (Article 33.3 PCT). Dependent claims 4 to 18 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.
- 6. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that the modification mentioned in claims 1 and 2 are not limited to the specific starch granules modifications observed in the examples but are drafted in a very broad way. The following objection is based on this fact.
  The method of claim 1 is not directed to a specific modification of the starch
  - The method of claim 1 is not directed to a specific modification of the starch granule and the method of claim 2 defines de modification so broadly that they cover most of the possible modifications.
  - Facing the problem of modifying starch granules in general, it is obvious for the skilled person that modifying the activity of any gene involved in starch metabolism would be suitable. The barley limit dextrinase inhibitor is known to regulate the activity of the limit dextrinase which plays an important role both in starch synthesis and starch degradation. Therefore, It is obvious for the skilled person that modifying the expression of the limit dextrinase inhibitor will lead to starch granule modification *via* the inhibition or lack of inhibition of the limit dextrinase. Therefore, claims 1 and 2 are not considered to involve an inventive activity (Article 33.3 PCT).
- 7. Claims 1 to 54 meet the requirements of Article 33.4 PCT.